# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OW-FRL-3410-1]

Drinking Water Technical Assistance; Termination of the Federal Drinking Water Additives Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).
ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Office of Drinking Water (ODW), has operated an advisory program that gives technical assistance to concerned parties on the use of drinking water additives. On May 17, 1984, EPA proposed to terminate major elements of this Federal program and to assist in the establishment of a privatesector program which would offer assistance in evaluating drinking water additives. 49 FR 21004. EPA solicited proposals from qualified nongovernmental, nonprofit organizations for assistance under a cooperative agreement to establish a credible and efficient program in the private sector.

On September 17, 1985, EPA selected a consortium consisting of the National Sanitation Foundation (NSF), the **American Water Works Association** Research Foundation (AWWARF), the Conference of State Health and Environmental Managers (COSHEM), and the Association of State Drinking Water Administrators (ASDWA) to receive funds under a cooperative agreement to develop the private-sector program. EPA believes that the NSF-led program has proceeded satisfactorily. NSF Standard 60, covering many direct additives, was adopted on December 7, 1987; and NSF Standard 61, covering indirect additives, was adopted on June 3, 1988. Other standards are forthcoming. The NSF-led program has begun offering testing, certification, and listing services, as described in 49 FR 21004, for certain classes of products covered by these standards. Accordingly, as the NSF-led program becomes operational, EPA will phase out its activities in this area, as described in this notice.

DATE: Any written comments on implementing this notice should be submitted to the address below by September 6, 1988.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to: Mr. Arthur H. Perler, Chief, Science and Technology Branch, Office of Drinking Water (WH-550D), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. A copy of all comments will be available for review

during normal business hours at the U.S. **Environmental Protection Agency.** Criteria and Standards Division, Science and Technology Branch, Room 931ET, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. For further information on the NSF-led private-sector program, including standards development and testing, certification, and listing services, contact: Director, Drinking Water Additives Program, National Sanitation Foundation, P.O. Box 1468. Ann Arbor, MI 48106; or call (313) 769-8010. For information on alternative testing, certification, and listing programs, contact individual State regulatory authorities or the American Water Works Association, Technical and Professional Department, 6666 Quincy Avenue, Denver CO, 80235, or call (303) 794-7711. For information on the directory of products certified as meeting the criteria in a NSF standard, contact the American Water Works Association Research Foundation, 6666 Quincy Avenue, Denver CO, 80235, or call (303) 794-7711.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Arthur H. Perler, Chief, Science and Technology Branch, Office of Drinking Water (WH-550D), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460, or call (202) 382– 2022.

### I. Introduction

The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) (42 U.S.C. 300f et seq.) provides for enhancement of the safety of public drinking water supplies through the establishment and enforcement of national drinking water regulations. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has the primary responsibility for establishing the regulations, and the States have the primary responsibility for enforcing such regulations. The regulations control contaminants in drinking water which may have any adverse effect on public health. Section 1412, 42 U.S.C. 300g-1. The regulations include maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) or treatment techniques and monitoring requirements for these contaminants. Sections 1401 and 1412; 42 U.S.C. 300f and 300g-1. EPA also promulgates monitoring requirements for unregulated contaminants. Section 1445; 42 U.S.C. 300j-4. In addition, EPA has broad authorities to provide technical assistance and financial assistance (e.g., grants, cooperative agreements) to States and to conduct research. Sections 1442, 1443, 1444; 42 U.S.C. 300j-1, 300j-2, 300j-3.

The Agency has established MCLs for a number of harmful contaminants that occur naturally or pollute public

drinking water supplies. In addition to such contaminants, there is a possibility that drinking water supplies may be contaminated by compounds "added" to drinking water, either directly or indirectly, in the course of treatment and transport of drinking water. Public water systems use a broad range of chemical products to treat water supplies and to maintain storage and distribution systems. For instance, systems may directly add chemicals such as chlorine, alum, lime, and coagulant aids in the process of treating water to make it suitable for public consumption. These are known as "direct additives." In addition, as a necessary function of maintaining a public water system. storage and distribution systems (including pipes, tanks, and other equipment) may be fabricated from or painted, coated, or treated with products which may leach into or otherwise enter the water. These products are known as "indirect additives." Except to the extent that direct or indirect additives consist of ingredients or contain contaminants for which EPA has promulgated MCLs, EPA does not currently regulate the levels of additives in drinking water.

In 1979, EPA executed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to establish and clarify areas of authorities with respect to control of additives in drinking water. 44 FR 42775, July 20, 1979. FDA is authorized to regulate "food additives" pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA). (21 U.S.C. 301 et seq.). Both agencies acknowledged in the MOU that "passage of the SDWA in 1974 repealed FDA's authority under the FFDCA over water used for drinking water purposes." The MOU stated that FDA would continue to have authority for taking regulatory action under the FFDCA to control additives in bottled drinking water and in water used in food and for food processing. The MOU went on to say that EPA had authority to control additives in public drinking water supplies.

While the SDWA does not require EPA to control the use of specific additives in drinking water, EPA has provided technical assistance to States and public water systems on the use of additives through the issuance of advisory opinions on the acceptability of many additive products. EPA has provided this technical assistance pursuant to its discretionary authority in section 1442[b](1) to "collect and make available information pertaining to research, investigations and demonstrations with respect to

providing a dependable safe supply of drinking water together with appropriate recommendations in connection therewith." EPA has additional authorities under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) (15 U.S.C. 2601 et seq.) and the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) (7 U.S.C. 138 et seq.) that could be used to control additives in drinking water. TSCA authorizes EPA to regulate a new chemical substance before it is manufactured or any existing chemical substance before it is manufactured or processed for a use that EPA has determined to be a "significant new use." Although an additive product might come within the jurisdiction of TSCA, EPA has never invoked this authority. EPA has used its authority under FIFRA to control the use of pesticides, disinfectants, and certain other additives. For a more complete discussion of these authorities, see the MOU. 44 FR 42776.

In 1980, EPA declared a moratorium on the issuance of new advisory opinions on additives pending a review of past advisory opinions and the establishment of uniform test protocols and decision criteria. However, between 1980 and 1984, EPA continued to issue advisory opinions in cases where the new additive products were virtually identical to products previously reviewed. Resource constraints and the need to implement mandatory provisions of the SDWA precluded the Agency from implementing the comprehensive program originally envisioned for the issuance of additives adviscry opinions. Thus, the Agency was not able to review the technical data supporting previous submissions (approximately 2,300 products from 525 manufacturers) nor was it able to develop test protocols or decision criteria for the consistent evaluation of new products. The result has been long delays in processing manufacturer petitions, inability to review and accept completely new products, and acceptance of products simply because they were virtually identical to older products. Hence, few products have. been thoroughly evaluated for the safety of their formulations based on the latest scientific information.

Recognizing the need for continuing technical assistance in evaluating additive products and for providing advice to States and public water systems on the toxicological aspects of additive products, the Agency proposed to terminate its attempts to institute a formal advisory program, and to solicit proposals from nongovernmental, nonprofit organizations to establish such a program in the private sector. The Agency believed that the proposal to assist in the establishment of a privatesector program was consistent with, and would best serve the goals of, the SDWA.

On May 17, 1984, EPA formally announced its intention to transfer the program to the private sector, which would function as to many other voluntary product-standard programs. 49 FR 21004. This was accomplished by requesting proposals from qualified organizations or consortia of organizations for the competitive award of a cooperative agreement designed to provide incentive for the establishment of a private-sector program. The 1984 notice stated that:

 EPA expected the activity to be selfsupporting.

 EPA would maintain an active interest in the development of the program, without assuming responsibility for or directing its approach.

 EPA would continue to establish regulations under the SDWA, FIFRA, and/or TSCA, as needed, for chemicals in treated, distributed drinking water that may originate as additives.

 Establishment of such a program would be consistent with the Administration's initiatives in the area of regulatory reform and offered an opportunity for an innovative alternative to regulation.

The May 1984 notice requested public comments on the proposal and solicited applications from qualified nongovernmental, nonprofit organizations for partial funding of the developmental phase of the program under a cooperative agreement. The response to the solicitation for comments indicated strong public support for the proposed approach. EPA received 106 public comments on the proposal. All but six supported this "third-party" approach. However, despite the Agency's open competition, EPA received only one application for financial assistance. The applicant was a consortium, led by the National Sanitation Foundation, which included the American Water Works Association Research Foundation, the Conference of State Health and Environmental Managers, and the Association of State Drinking Water Administrators. This single proposal met all of the basic criteria articulated in the May 1984 notice. Furthermore, EPA believed that the single applicant was very likely to succeed, because it represented an organization experienced in privatesector consensus standard-setting, State regulators, and water utilities.

EPA awarded the cooperative agreement to the NSF consortium on September 17, 1985, and committed funding of \$185,000 to NSF over a threeyear period. The non-Federal (consortium and participating industry) contribution during the first three years of the program was projected to be approximately \$1.4 million.

The NSF program has the following major objectives:

 To develop systematic, consistent, and comprehensive voluntary consensus standards for public health safety evaluation of all products (previously EPA-accepted as well as new) intended for use in drinking water systems.

 To obtain broad-based participation in the standard-setting program from industry, States, and utilities.

 To provide for regular periodic review, update, and revision of the standards.

 To undertake needed research. testing, evaluation, and inspections and to provide the followup necessary to maintain the program.

 To establish a separate program for testing, evaluation, certification, and

listing of additive products.

 To widely disseminate information about the program, and to make information about conforming products available to users.

 To maintain the confidentiality of all proprietary information.

 To fully establish the third-party program on a self-supporting basis.

NSF's established standard-setting process utilizes a tiered structure. Each standard is drafted by a task group and then presented to a Joint Committee, which includes 12 industry, 12 user, and 12 regulatory members. Following successful Joint Committee balloting, standards are reviewed by the Council of Public Health Consultants, which is a high level advisory group consisting of technical and policy experts from regulatory agencies and academia.

NSF has established task groups to develop standards for the product categories listed below. Each task group includes a member representing the regulatory agencies and a member representing the utilities. All manufacturers expressing interest in a particular product task group may participate as members of that group. Therefore, task group membership is predominately manufacturers. In addition, a group of health effects consultants is addressing the toxicological and risk considerations for various product categories. NSF's role in the standard-setting process is administrative, that is, to bring together experts from government, industry,

utilities, users, and other relevant groups so that a standard which reflects a consensus of these interests can be developed. In addition, NSF staff provide technical leadership and laboratory support. Product categories and correspoding task groups are:

Protective Materials.

 Chemicals for Corrosion and Scale Control, Softening, Precipitation, Sequestering, and pH Adjustment.

• Coagulation and Flocculation

Chemicals.

- Miscellaneous Treatment Chemicals.
  - Joining and Sealing materials.

Process Media.

- Pipes and Related Products.
- Disinfection and Oxidation Chemicals.
  - Mechanical Devices.

All of the task groups have made satisfactory progress during the term of the cooperative agreement. In addition, the health effects consultants have endorsed the bases of the standards. Standards have been drafted for all product categories, and final standards were published and implemented as follows:

#### Standard 60, December 1987

- Chemicals for Corrosion and Scale Control, Softening, Precipitation, Sequestering, and pH Adjustment.
- Disinfection and Oxidation Chemicals.
- Miscellaneous Treatment Chemicals (selected).

## Standard 61, June 1988

Process Media.

Development of the remaining standards is on schedule, and publication and implementation are expected on the following schedule:

Standards 60 and 61, expected October 1988

- Protective Materials.
- Coagulation and Flocculation Chemicals.
- Miscellaneous Treatment Chemicals (additional).
  - Joining and Sealing Materials.
  - Pipes and Related Products.
  - Mechanical Devices.

EPA believes that the NSF program is successfully pursuing all of its objectives. Furthermore, the program is strongly supported by user and regulatory sectors. AWWARF, COSHEM, ASDWA, the Great Lakes Upper Mississippi River Board, the American Water Works Association (AWWA) (including the Utilities and Standards Councils and the Regulatory Agencies Division), and the Association of Metropolitan Water Agencies, among

others, have voiced strong support for the third-party program. The AWWA recently joined the NSF-led consortium and urged EPA to support national uniform accreditation of certifying entities for additives products. To date, more than 60 manufacturers are full participants in the standard-setting program.

The cooperative agreement between EPA and the consortium requires NSF to establish both a standard-setting program and a service for testing, certification, and listing. These are completely separate activities. EPA's intent is to support the development of a widely accepted uniform standard for each category of products while encouraging the development of competing sources for testing, certification, and listing. The cooperative agreement assures that at least one sound and reliable productevaluation service will be available to manufacturers, i.e., the consortium. However, the consortium's standards will allow for entities other than NSF to be evaluators of products.

EPA recognizes the authority and responsibility of the individual States to determine the acceptability of drinking water additives. Hence, it is up to the States and utilities to determine the suitability of any "third-party" certification. AWWARF will maintain a directory of products approved by all organizations claiming to conduct evaluations under Standards 60 and 61. However, AWWARF will not judge the competence or reliability of these organizations.

# II. Announcement of Phase-Down of EPA's Additives Program

During the developmental phase of the NSF consortium's program, EPA has continued to review products and process requests for advisory opinions on a limited basis. The May 1984 notice stated that, "EPA does not intend to develop further interim administrative procedures, testing protocols or decision criteria for future evaluation of additive products. The use of existing informal criteria will continue until a third-party or alternative program is operational \*. EPA may not be able to process all requests for opinions on additive products before the establishment of a cooperative agreement with a third party. The large volume of currently pending requests makes it unlikely that additional requests will be completely processed by that date." Likewise, EPA, in its acknowledgment letters to manufacturers requesting opinions on new products, explains that the Agency is, "\* \* \* making a concerted effort to process petitions as quickly as possible.

However, EPA may not be able to process your request for an opinion on an additive product before the establishment of an alternative program as described in the Federal Register, Vol. 49, No. 97, 21003–8, May 17, 1984." Product reviews and issuance of advisory opinions have been limited to:

- Products composed entirely of other products which EPA had previously determined to be acceptable;
- Products composed entirely of ingredients which have been determined to be acceptable by EPA or the FDA, or other Federal agencies, for addition to potable water or aqueous foods;
- Products composed entirely of ingredients listed in the "Water Chemicals Codex," National Academy of Sciences, November 1982, and in the "Water Chemicals Codex: Supplementary Recommendations for Direct Additives," National Academy of Sciences, 1984;
- Certain other products of particular interest to EPA or to other Federal agencies; and
- Products which, if effectively excluded from the marketplace by lack of approval, might jeopardize public health or safety.

Continued processing of petitions during the development of the private-sector program minimized disruption of the marketplace from the viewpoint of manufacturers whose business depended in part on EPA acceptance of products, users who required water treatment products for the production of safe drinking water, and State officials who rely on the advice of EPA.

EPA believes that NSF is moving expeditiously and on schedule toward the full establishment of a third-party program covering products intended for use in drinking water systems. Priorities for standards development and implementation of a testing, certification, and listing program for various product categories have been based upon need, interest, complexity. and availability of information for developing standards. Direct drinking water additives were assigned high priority for the following reasons: (1) Use of direct additives is widespread in drinking water systems, so there are large population exposures to these chemicals; (2) as direct additives to drinking water, they present greater potential for water contamination than indirect mechanisms (e.g., migration from protective paints in pipes and storage tanks); and (3) the National Academy of Sciences' Water Chemicals Codex provided a good starting point for development of standards.

As originally planned, EPA is beginning to phase out the Agency's additives evaluation program. Thus, EPA will not accept new petitions or requests for advisory options after the date of this notice. While EPA will continue to process requests which are pending and those received on or before July 7, 1988, petition evaluations not completed by October 4, 1988, will be returned to the submitter. After that date, EPA will no longer evaluate additive products.

Petitions which are completely evaluated by October 5, 1988, will be added to the quarterly list of acceptable products published shortly after that date. That quarterly list will be the last such list issued by EPA. On April 7, 1990, EPA will withdraw its list of acceptable products, and the list and the advisories on these additives will expire. This means that: (1) The various lists published by EPA under the titles Report on Acceptable Drinking Water Additives, Report on Coagulant Aids for Water Treatment, Report on Concrete Coatings/Admixture for Water Treatment, Report on Detergents, Sanitizers and Joint Lubricants for Water Treatment, Report on Evaporative Suppressants for Water Treatment, Report on Liners/Grouts/ Hoses and Tubings for Water Treatment, Report on Miscellaneous Chemicals for Water Treatment, Report on Protectivee Paints/Coatings for Water Treatment, and any and all other lists of drinking water products issued by EPA or its predecessor agencies regarding drinking water additives will be invalid after April 7, 1990; and (2) advisory opinions on drinking water additives issued by EPA and predecessor agencies will be invalid after that date.

EPA believes that, while in the past every effort has been made to provide the best possible evaluations, all products should be evaluated against carefully developed and considered nationally uniform standards. Many of the currently listed products were evaluated and accepted up to 20 years ago and have not been reevaluated since that time. Numerous products have been accepted because they were virtually identical to or were repackagings of older products. The result is that few products have been completely evaluated for the safety of their original or current formulations visa-vis the latest toxicological, chemical, and engineering information. A uniform evaluation of all products, old and new, will result in consistent quality of products, and will assure fair and equitable treatment to all manufacturers and distributors.

Henceforth, parties desiring to have existing or new products evaluated against the NSF standards should contact NSF or other organizations offering such evaluations. To contact NSF about the drinking water additives program write to: David Gregorka, National Sanitation Foundation, P.O. Box 1468, Ann Arbor, MI 48106, or call (313) 769-8010. Information on alternatives to NSF evaluation may be obtained by contacting State regulatory agencies or the AWWA, Technical and Professional Department, 6666 Quincy Avenue, Denver Co, 80235, or call (303) 794-7711, which is addressing certifier accreditation.

EPA believes that the 21 months between today and the expiration date of EPA's last list is sufficient time for manufacturers to submit their products to NSF or other certification entities for evaluation. The first NSF list will be published prior to April 7, 1990, thereby preventing any disruption in the marketplace. Furthermore, NSF had indicated that it will consider current EPA and other regulatory evaluations when evaluating products in order to ensure a smooth transition. States may choose to rely on the last EPA quarterly list of products until their individual

programs for accepting private-sector certification are fully implemented.

Parties desiring to market drinking water additive products are reminded that the individual States have the authority to regulate the sale and/or use of specific products as they see fit. Thus, reliance upon a particular standard or organization to certify that a product complies with a particular standard must be acceptable to the State in which the supplier wishes to do business.

Discontinuation of the additives program at EPA does not relieve the Agency of its statutory responsibilities. If contamination resulting from thirdparty sanctioned products occurs or seems likely, EPA will address that issue with appropriate drinking water regulations or other actions authorized under the SDWA: EPA is a permanent member of the NSF program Steering Committee, and senior EPA staff and management will continue to participate in this and other programs designed to assure that high-quality products are employed in the treatment of public drinking water. Also, the Agency will continue to sponsor research on contaminants introduced in public water supplies during water treatment, storage, and distribution.

### III. Comments

Although this notice does not include a proposed or final regulation, EPA welcomes comments and suggestions that would assist the Agency in implementing the additives program phasedown. Please address all comments and suggestions to: Mr. Arthur H. Perler, Chief, Science and Technology Branch, Office of Drinking Water (WH-550D), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Date: June 16, 1988.
William Whittington,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Water.
[FR Doc. 88-15232 Filed 7-8-88; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M