

Jim Maxey, D.D.S., Inc., PC

5930 E 31st St
Suite 600
Tulsa OK 74135
918-742-2424

August 15, 2014

CERTIFIED MAIL
To All

Oklahoma Board of Dentistry
2920 N Lincoln Blvd
Suite B
Oklahoma City, Ok 73105

James A. Sparks, D.D.S.
B. Dan Storm, D.D.S.
Stanley Crawford D.D.S.
Steve Lusk, D.D.S.
Angela Craig, R.D.H.
Jeffrey R. Nelson, D.D.S.
W. Trent Yadon, D.D.S.
Chief M. Phil Cotton
Loretta Roberts. Esq.
Wavel L. Wells, D.D.S.
James Gore, D.D.S.

Dear Dental Board Members:

I want to make all of you board members aware of a storm that is brewing just over the horizon and it's fairly certain that it could have a devastating effect personally, professionally and financially on each of you board members as well as dentistry in general.

In order to explain what this storm is about I will first need to explain a few things to set up the explanation and any needed conversation.

First I need to acknowledge that The State Dental Act of Oklahoma states that: "The practice of dentistry in the State of Oklahoma is hereby declared to affect the public health, safety and general welfare and to be subject to regulation and control in the public's best interest."

Also stated is that: "It is further declared to be a matter of public interest and concern that the dental profession, through advancement and achievement, merits and receives the confidence of the public and that only properly qualified dentists be permitted to practice dentistry...." And that "All provisions of this act relating to the practice of dentistry...shall be liberally construed to carry out these objects and purposes.

The Act also gives "Grounds for Penalties" for "Publishing a false, fraudulent, or misleading advertisement or statement."

The State Dental Act very clearly states that its purpose is to protect the public and to see to it that dentist and hygienists all honor and obey the Act. To summarize the Act it could be said that it says that dentists and dental hygienist are to: Do all you have agreed to do...and do not encroach on other persons or their property. Or, another way to say it is: Don't do to others what you don't want done to yourself.

The Dental Act is clearly about protecting the public from the dentist or hygienist. The Act is not about protecting the dentist or hygienist, nor is it about protecting the Dental Board, the American Dental Association (ADA), the US Public Health Service (USPHS), the CDC, the FDA, WHO, the PEW Foundation or any other three letter organization or any local, state or federal entities. The State Dental Act is about protecting the public...period. The dental board, via its own language, cannot deviate from that fiduciary responsibility simply because it is convenient or because of pressure from any outside influence.

The Dental Board has always promptly and thoroughly investigated complaints against dental professionals that deal with, among other things, substance abuse, excessive prescriptions, inappropriate sexual behavior, and the alleged actions of the tooth fairy. There is however one very significant infraction of the State Dental Act where the Dental Board members historically stick their head in the sand and refuses to responsibly investigate. That is the Dental Board's wholehearted support of the intentional addition of the arsenic laced industrial toxic chemical waste **fluorosilicic acid** to the public drinking water supply. That support **ABOLUTELY DOES NOT** qualify as being "regulation and control in the public's best interest." This will all be fully explained.

I would venture to say that your knee jerk reaction to what I just wrote here is to get into immediate denial and seriously consider throwing this in the trash. I would advise against that as what you are going to learn here will probably allow you to be hero's and save face and avoid a personal financial loss and possible loss of your dental license.

I would hope that **how** you **listen** here is to know that where I am coming from here is Tough Love for dentists, hygienists and the dental profession. This is not about making the profession or the dentists or hygienist bad and wrong. I make the dental profession right and the **only** thing I am disagreeing with is dentistry's vicious support for the addition of arsenic laced fluorosilicic to the public drinking water. It's just that it's time for all of you board members, and all dentist and hygienists, to wake up and realize that all of us are just being used as **pawns**

by the ADA and the USPHS and a few others. I pray that you board members will open up to honestly finally learning what was **intentionally omitted** from our dental education.

For your own sake, you need to put your previous understandings of water fluoridation to the side for a moment and study with an open mind what I give you here. It's time to learn what is on the other side of the fluoride coin that was **intentionally omitted** from dentists formal dental school education...and then rethink your position.

If you should decide that you don't want to study this right now, do know that what is on the horizon are potentially liable suits against city councils, health boards, dental boards, dentists and hygienist among others. So by studying this now you can learn some of what can be used against you so you can start learning what you have to defend yourself against. Ignore this and it could eventually catch up with you. Would you board members rather have your patients, and the public in general, look at you with praise and respect out of 100 per cent obeying the State Dental Act...or would you rather have them watch you face penalties for being found in violation of the Act.

I am well aware that what I am giving you here is lengthy. But, if I just sent you a brief note and told you that the fluorosilicic acid being dripped into the public drinking water supply was bad and wrong and caused or contributed to a long list of adverse health effects...well I am sure your response would be to send me a letter full of scripted chants you learned in fluoride message school and just blow me off. Then what I would have to do is do what I am doing now and give you my supporting documentation. So I am just skipping that first step. You all can do whatever you want to do but if you fail to honor your own dental Act...It will probably catch up with you...

I can scientifically document that there is absolutely no controlled science that has ever justified adding fluorosilicic acid to the public drinking water. Fluorosilicic acid is added to the drinking water only because of human behavior **GREED** issues. It's time to take a look at what we had to, and have to do, to survive in the human behavior realm of dentistry...and life... For one, it's very important to be right and not wrong...we need to be accepted and not face rejection. Imagine the denigration you would have to experience from the ADA and others if you were opposed to water fluoridation. Is your skin thick enough? So you don't think human behavior issues, instead of controlled science, significantly enter into why the drinking water is fluoridated? Well... Just wait and see...

As documentation of how dentists think, later in this lengthy writing you will be able to read a letter sent to me by William Evans, D.D.S. His letter is an excellent example of the position the vast majority of dentist's have.

As Mark Twain said, "It's easier to fool people than to convince them they have been fooled." Guess what...we dentist have **definitely** been fooled and our human behavior is so darn resistant to openly looking at the other side of the coin because we might be wrong. Humans will fight to the death to prove they are not wrong even when they are.

Dentist and hygienist aren't wrong here...we have just been intentionally miseducated. We have had a considerable amount of very relevant scientific information **intentionally omitted** from our dental educations and instead have just been taught scripted messages to quote. Well, the time is arising that you may not have a choice and you will have to learn what has been kept from you. Would you rather learn this the easy way now or later in a courtroom in a witness chair, under oath, with some lawyer being all in your face? I am doing you a favor here, it just won't look like it right now...

If any of you were given a traffic citation for violating some traffic law and were to plead not guilty due to ignorance of the law, what you would be told is ignorance of the law is no excuse, you are still guilty. Just because you aren't aware of this fluoride fraud, ignorance of the fraud is not an excuse, you can still be held liable for harm...especially since you have now been notified!

*** * * * \$ * * * ***

As Charles G. Brown, the National Council for Consumers for Dental Choice has said: "It's time for the mainstream dentists to end the intellectually lazy attitude that what they learned in dental school is all they need to know."

*** * * * \$ * * * ***

We have all been to dental school, we all took basically the same courses and used the same books no matter which dental school we went to. In order to be admitted to these dental schools we all had to take certain prerequisite courses in college. In high school and college we learned a lot of basics, a lot of historical scientific facts that we needed to know in order to understand the more advanced studies in dental school. We had to learn how to add and subtract before we could multiply and divide before we could do algebra and calculus. We have to know the beginning to better understand the later so that we can serve and protect our patients as required by The State Dental Act of Oklahoma.

However, there is one exception to these prerequisite requirements. There are absolutely no prerequisite requirements at any dental school for college courses that prepares a dental student for dental school courses about drinking water fluoridation. Also there are not any **dental school** courses devoted to water fluoridation nor are there any post graduate courses. As a result, not only do dentist never have any **historical** understanding of water fluoridation, they don't have any advanced understandings either. Just as the ADA wants us dentists... barefoot and pregnant...

As described in the attached 19 page Thorman Letter, as dentist, while in dental school, we are only taught a smidgen of “science” regarding drinking water fluoridation. There is no such thing as a course titled Water Fluoridation 101. What I mean by that is we were, and are, taught only a very **few** of the facts surrounding water fluoridation “science” during the time period of the very late 1940’s and after. We are absolutely **NOT** taught anything at all about the historical facts from the time period of about 1900 to the middle 1940’s. Why not? What I have found is that when dentists do finally learn about that historical fluoride science from that earlier time period that dentists usually cease to support water fluoridation.

Wonder why we were **intentionally NOT** taught the early historical science about water fluoridation yet were taught the historical facts of all those other relevant dental school courses that were essential to understanding all those advanced subjects we learned in dental school? What is it someone doesn’t want us dentists to know about all the historical science surrounding water fluoridation...and why?

* * * * \$ * * * *

What if I were to tell you here that Fluorine is a general protoplasmic poison and that a comparisons of toxicity data suggests that fluorine, lead and arsenic belong to the same group as far as the ability to cause some symptoms of toxicity in **minute dosage**? Or, that fluorine interferes with the enzymatic processes associated with normal bone and tooth formation. Or if I told you that fluoride is a highly toxic substance and that drinking water containing as little as 1.2 to 3.0 ppm will cause numerous adverse health effects such as developmental disturbances in bones as osteosclerosis, spondylosis and osteopetrosis as well as goiter. What would you think about this statement?

I would expect that you have a lot of mind chatter about that...a lot of denial...a lot of wanting to tell me that I have misconceptions and that I am wrong and your fluoride beliefs are right. Well...as you will learn when you read the 19 pages of the attached Thorman Letter, **ALL** those things I wrote in that previous paragraph are **ALL** quotes from the prestigious **Journal of the American Dental Association (JADA)**. More details are in the Thorman Letter.

As you will learn, from the early 1900’s to about the middle to late 1940’s the ADA and the USPHS told the truths about fluorine, fluorides and water fluoridation. There is not now and has never been a dental school in this country that teaches any of the historical facts about fluorides from that 1900 to late 1940’s historical time period. Why? Answer; The ADA intentionally doesn’t want us dentists to know this information. All the ADA wants dentists to know are those **pro fluoride one-liners** they learned in the ADA’s fluoride message school that were written, rehearsed and at the ready.

The ADA knows that when dentists learn the historical facts surrounding water fluoridation, they begin to oppose it...the ADA has a **HUGE** vested interest to protect and doesn’t want dentists learning any accurate fluoride history. If you board members choose to honor your professional ethics, Board Oath and the State Dental Act of Oklahoma, and honestly study the

fluoride science that was intentionally omitted from your dental school curriculum what you will learn is that what was **intentionally omitted from our dental education** is significantly more accurate and relevant than what was included.

Well, the hard truth is that regarding water fluoridation this intentional omission of science by the ADA is exactly what is going on. There has been this illusion created that dentist are somehow experts regarding water fluoridation and, as you will see, taken in context, dentists really don't know squat about water fluoridation facts or its science and are just pawns of the ADA and the USPHS.

I bet all of you now have even more mind chatter going on because of what you just read...So now I think this would be a good time to give you more information about that "storm" that is brewing just over the horizon...

* * * * \$ * * * *

The educated public, both domestically and internationally, is waking up to many things including becoming aware of the **adverse health effects** associated with the ingestion of that arsenic laced fluorosilicic acid toxic industrial chemical waste that is intentionally being dripped into their drinking water supply.

Compared to dentists, the public is becoming significantly more knowledgeable of the historical facts surrounding water fluoridation and its ability to, as already documented by the ADA, to cause or contribute to numerous adverse health effects in humans and animals. These thousands of members of the knowledgeable public are well educated individuals, they are PhD's, MD's, DO's, RN's, educators, a variety of health care providers and a huge number of intelligent, informed and concerned citizens. By the way...these extremely knowledgeable fluoride opponents are the same folks that the ADA instructs dentists to viciously denigrate. So when any of these knowledgeable individuals speaks out in opposition of water fluoridation the ADA and the dentists get their no brainer knee jerk reaction activated. Yet all they can do is denigrate these well-educated folks as neither the ADA or the USPHS or the dentists have ever had any controlled science that supported or supports the decision to fluoridate the public drinking water supply or that fluoride has anything to do with tooth decay rates or is safe, beneficial or of value. As you will soon learn, I am willing to stake my dental license on the accuracy of that last sentence.

In addition to all those knowledgeable fluoride opponents mentioned in the above paragraph...knowledgeable lawyers are now getting involved. These lawyers are now in the process of preparing to file liable suits against city council members in some other countries...as a start...but next on the list are public health officials...and dental board members...and...possibly each of you personally...

There will probably be financial penalties which could include punitive damage as well as professional ethics problems, license issues, embarrassment...and who knows what else!

Here is where you board members come in:

This lawyer scenario probably isn't going to happen here in Oklahoma for a while. I believe there is sufficient time to allow the Oklahoma Dental Board Members, and then all Oklahoma dentists to get their water fluoridation educational deficiencies corrected and get up to date on **accurate** water fluoridation science and responsibly solve this fraud.

I am going to give you the opportunity to be hero's here. The opportunity is to have the public put you dentists up on a pedestal and look at you with respect for honoring your ethics in a situation that is so controversial.

There are several very successful fluoride opposition activities occurring on every continent right now. There are communities in the USA, Canada, Australia and other countries where the citizens are, unlike dentists, are waking up and are getting fluoride out of the drinking water. You board members probably aren't aware of it as it is intentionally kept out of the ADA News and other corporate owned media.

I am an insider both directly and indirectly with most if not all of these opposition activities around the globe. I am also an insider in dentistry...just as all of you board members are. Being an insider on **both** side of the water fluoridation issue I know both sides of the coin and I clearly see which side created this fluoride fraud...and I bet none of you board members are aware of this fluoride fraud as most likely none of you have a listening for anything that is different than the ADA learned fluoride dogma you learned in the ADA's fluoride message school.

In the Peel Region of Canada there are citizens who are currently, and aggressively, in the process of having attorneys working to prepare law suits against individual city councilors to hold them liable for harming residents whose health is put at risk by fluorides.

Now, here is where you board members could get lost. We are taught by our ADA controlled dental schools that there is no such thing as fluoride "harming residents whose health is put at risk." Most dentists are so far out of the ball park of accurate fluoride science that they truly believe that "water fluoridation does not cause harm and is safe, beneficial and of value." I will document to you that the ADA and the USPHS both **clearly** have known for many-many years that adverse health effects do in fact occur but the ADA and the USPHS just use word spin in their attempt to downplay it...hereby duping you dentist as well as a significant number of the public...just hang on as this will be documented using ADA and USPHS publications. If you make the ADA and USPHS publications I give you be wrong, you will actually be making the ADA and the USPHS wrong...which will make me right...Which means you board members will be painted into a corner. I will be giving you a lot of information here in just a short amount of time that took me years to digest and figure out, so you are getting a crash course...so, hang on...I will help you thru this...

It really won't be too difficult for these lawyers to win these suits...For example, as published in the JADA, the ADA knew many years **prior** to their support of water fluoridation of the numerous adverse health effects that are caused by or contributed to by ingesting fluoridated drinking water. The ADA also knows that even mild **dental fluorosis is the first visible symptom of chronic fluoride poisoning (JADA, Vol. 40, April 1950 p 440)** and the greater the degree of fluorosis the greater degree of poisoning.

The ADA also knows that ingested fluoride doesn't just somehow magically go **only** to the developing tooth bud where it inhibits normal cellular function by poisoning enzymes which is what causes dental fluorosis. The ADA also knows that the ingested fluoride goes to virtually **every cell in the body** where, among other things, it also poisons cellular enzyme functions just as it does in the ameloblasts when fluorosis occurs. When you truly understand fluorine chemistry it will be easy to understand how fluorides causes or contributes to that **ADA** list of adverse health effect symptoms that are listed on page 5.

If nothing else, the attorneys can line up all the children with dental fluorosis and let the city councilors and the dental board members pay for correcting the fluorosis damage... plus punitive damages. You won't be able to get out of your responsibility by claiming, as the ADA and USPHS do, that dental fluorosis is just a "cosmetic problem and is someone doesn't like it they should get therapy." These attorneys will have real expert witnesses as well as boxes full of other accurate fluoride science, some of which I have given them, that they can use to come after all of you and they will easily win as it actually easy to document that dentists don't know squat about water fluoridation science and all their previous praise for it is simply false, fraudulent and misleading chants learned in ADA message school. And, you won't be able to wiggle out claiming you "didn't know." I have sent all of this to you via Certified Mail and I have a receipt. You now cannot say you do not know. To **not** willingly learn what was intentionally omitted from your ADA determined studies and to not take responsible action to "protect the public" is a violation of The State Dental Act of Oklahoma. I can prove you have been notified...you can't just blow this off with your arrogance.

I any of you board members or any dentists claim that water fluoridation is safe and does not cause any future body harm then those dentists could be considered to be making a medical diagnosis conclusion and be found guilty of practicing beyond the scope of their license.

I am sure that all dental boards in the USA, as well as in all countries require that the public health and safety be protected. All you board members have to do is do your job no matter how confronting it may be. There is not any backdoor here for you to escape thru. The jig is up!

By the time your read this I will have already shared this domestically and internationally with many other fluoride opponents I am working with and I will encourage them to use this information to inform and educate themselves, their dentists and dental boards. I am doing this for the "public's best interest" as The State Dental Act of Oklahoma requires of me as well as of you.

* * * * \$ * * * *

So as a dentist insider...I am here to support all of you in behaving as the State Dental Act specifies and to support you in honoring your professional ethics and retaining your professional reputation and your financial security. As an insider to the fluoride opposition and as an insider dentistry, I am willing to show you how to get out of your upcoming liability predicament before it happens. If you think I am full of it, don't fool yourselves, it is already happening, it just hasn't made it to Oklahoma yet...but with some persuasion Oklahoma could possibly be put at the top of the list.

It's real easy to avoid these liable suits...first get accurately educated...especially from the 1900's to the middle 1940's time period. Then hang your head, shuffle your feet and humbly apologize to the public. Then have the Oklahoma Board of Dentistry make some type of official statement that the board members and the board now recommends immediately ending water fluoridation in the State of Oklahoma. People will be impressed with your integrity and will look upon all of you with honor and appreciation and will praise your action and forgive you for your past beliefs knowing that it was not something you intentionally did but something you were fooled into doing and once you woke up and caught on, you cleaned it up. Dentists were just the patsy. Everyone wins and there are no suits filed against you and you can live happily ever after. Sort of sounds like fantasy land doesn't it. I would advise you all to seriously consider taking advantage of this opportunity...!

Or, you can do as dentists are now doing in virtually all the countries I work with and just deny, denigrate, and strut. The knowledgeable public is taking a very negative attitude about the way most dentists are behaving and some have displayed single digit salutes and some pretty vulgar descriptive words to describe those dentists. Not words you will want to have inscribed on your pedestal and they will be if you don't wake up and take the required action to "protect the public."

"The ultimate ignorance is the rejection of something you know nothing about yet refuse to investigate." Dr. Wayne Dyer

I know that that what I write here will likely be controversial and confronting for all of you to read. No matter what you think about what I write about here or no matter what you may think about me...this all has nothing to do with me...I am just the messenger...a messenger who is on your side. I am not the topic here. The topic is **your** water fluoridation educational deficiency and your possible **unwillingness** to correct that scenario. And, if by chance one or all of you are already aware of this fluoride fraud...why have you not spoken up and taken care of this already...if any of you are already knowledgeable then why are you just giving lip-service to your ethics, board oath and the State Dental Act.

Since a dental board member has to be a good old guy/gal and a total ADA conformist to get on the board I doubt any of you are aware of the details surrounding your duping and I fully suspect that all of you will attempt to brush this topic off as minor and trivial and will attempt

to downplay the seriousness of this information that I present you with here. Since each of you board members individually and collectively sits in a position of authority and are bound by The State Dental Act of Oklahoma as well as your Board Oath, ethics and your fiduciary responsibility, you cannot refuse or downplay or ignore this information I present here. If you do, complaints can be filed against each of you for violations of the State Dental Act. Each of you board members, via the Act, have painted yourself into a corner. You will study this either willingly or unwillingly.

Yes, I know that you can in fact refuse to study this and you can toss all this in the trash. But if you do refuse to learn, this will eventually catch up with you anyway and you can be legally put in a position that you will have to study it for no other reason than to learn what is going to be used against you. Since it is much easier to ride the horse in the direction it is going I would encourage you to set your ADA learned fluoride dogma to the side and see what else the ADA and the USPHS has published that you didn't know that you didn't know.

If any or all of you smirk at this responsibility understand that I have absolutely no problem with continuing to encourage and support these knowledgeable lawyers in filing liable suits against any city councilor, other health authority or dental board member. I also know that an official complaint could also be filed against each of you yesterday. The State Dental Act lays out the protocol that must be followed when a complaint is filed. You would then need to study this anyway to know what will be used against you so you could prepare your defense. By this being taken to litigation it would force all of you to have to look at this no matter how resistant you might be due to your preconceived indoctrinated dogma. However, I would like to keep this in a peaceful, nonviolent and cooperative mode and allow you to put your oaths and ethics where your mouth is. You know...Do all you have agreed to do and do not encroach on other persons or their property. There is also Higher Law to obey...

Ultimately you don't have a choice here, you have to separate your personal prejudiced beliefs from the required integrity to be a board member as this could be the most confronting issue you are ever going to have to deal with as a board member and probably personally.

I would think that each of you would find it much easier to learn about what has been **intentional omitted** from your formal dental education than to go thru the process of being found personally liable for harm done to the public by water fluoridation. So it doesn't matter if you have a DDS, DMD or RDH behind your name your liability for harming residents who suffered adverse health effects will be the same for all of you and from my understandings could also result in settlements that each of you could be held responsible for.

* * * * \$ * * * *

Notice that the science I present you here to document my claims is not some airy fairy science. Everything I will give you here is from publications such as the Journal of the American Dental Association and from the US Public Health Service. Again, if you attempt to make my science

sources wrong, you will actually be making JADA, ADA and the USPHS wrong...which will make me right.

I would hope that all of you would already know that the first visible symptom of chronic fluoride exposure is dental fluorosis. Dental fluorosis starts occurring at water levels as low as 0.01 parts per million (ppm). The ADA currently recommends that water be fluoridated at a level of 0.7 ppm, a level many times in excess of what is required to cause fluoride poisoning symptoms. Dental fluorosis has been well documented to be the first visible symptom of chronic fluoride poisoning by the American Dental Association several times over the years in their **prestigious** publication the Journal of the American Dental Association.

I used to support the ingestion of fluoridated drinking water and professed its safety, benefits and value as you folk's now do. Waking up was a very confronting experience for me, as I expect it will be for you also. However, no matter how confronting it is for you folks...you really don't have a choice, you either get educated and clear up this fluoride fraud or possibly face liability. I can assure you that finally getting accurately educated is the least of the evils that you could confront you.

One question for you board members that I would like an honest answer to is what brand of bottled water do you and your family drink? And why do you drink bottled water? Are you wanting to avoid ingesting that arsenic laced fluorosilicic acid...and I bet that you don't know that when the fluorosilicic acid is dripped into the drinking water, since the acid is so acidic the pH is adjusted to more neutral by using lye (which is also the main ingredient of Drano)? MMM GOOD...

* * * * \$ * * * *

In order to keep this introductory letter short, instead of giving you a lot of supporting story here I ask that you also read the attached 19 page writing I refer to as the Thorman Letter. In addition there is a very good website that will give you more fact than you probably want to know. I would encourage you to go to www.FluorideAlert.org and study it in depth. I also have an educational web site www.DentalConfessions.com and would encourage you to go the Fluoride Fraud section as well as the Ethic section.

An excellent book to read is The Fluoride Deception by Christopher Bryson. This book contains well documented science that will be used against all of you. I have included a complimentary copy of that book with this packet and I would strongly suggest that you would read it as it will be of great value in assisting you with **your** defense. Another excellent book is The Case Against Fluoride by Paul Connett, PhD.

The State Dental Act requires that all dentists take an ethics course at least once in each three year reporting period. Well...now is the time for all of you board members to put your ethics where your mouth is and truly protect the public. If you don't, maybe you should take another

ethics course. This information can be forced on you. I know people who are willing to begin filing ethics complaints against any board members who fail to take "action necessary to implement and enforce the State Dental Act."

Again, you will learn, via the Journal of the American Dental Association, there are numerous adverse health effects that are cause by, or contributed to from ingesting fluoridated drinking water. This will all be explained in the attached Thorman letter so I won't elaborate on those adverse health effects as you will learn when you read the attached. .

If any of you Board Members have an autistic child, or have a relation or acquaintance that is autistic, just know that in a **research facility heavily funded by the American Dental Association** using **controlled experiments** it was scientifically documented that fluoridated drinking water caused autism like symptoms in test animals which equate to humans. Aren't you proud that you support water fluoridation? Each of you board members, like it or not, have some responsibility for the increase in autism symptoms. Do you folks want to be held personally financially liable for autism expenses for all the autistic children and adults in Oklahoma...is that also on the horizon?

Actually what we have here is essentially the same as the big tobacco companies knowingly promoting tobacco with the knowledge of harm caused. You dental board members need to get that you can no longer claim you didn't know...You are now liable..

If you Board Members act ethically and responsibly right now there may be much less of a chance of being found liable for harm if all of you would finally get accurately educated about this fluoride fraud and then clean up this mess and get this crap out of the water.

I will be most willing to work with you dental board to assist with your accurate fluoride education. However I already know that the ADA, the USPHS, the PEW Foundation, corporate aluminum and phosphate fertilizer **ALL** have a key to the Oklahoma dental boards backdoor and they will all come thru the boards backdoor like a swarm of locust and will wine and dine all of you no matter how long it takes as they attempt to sweet talk you into to ignoring accurate science and instead just continue following their pro water fluoridation dogma. I know you already know this will happen as I know it already happened before.

I am willing to work with you and supply you with additional accurate historical ADA and USPHS supported documentation that will support what I write here but I am not going to play this game where the ADA and others get to come thru the boards back door and get to crawl in bed with you board members for days, weeks, months or years and whisper sweet mantras in your ear and all myself and the other fluoride opponents only get is an insulting token five minutes of time. I can bring several true fluoride experts, PhD Biochemist, MD's, DO's, RN's and others who have the upmost integrity and accurate science and who **do not have a vested interest to protect** and who can run circles around the pro fluoride ADA and others. But I won't be insulted with time limits and sudden cancellations of meetings and I won't get into any pissing contest with you folks.

I know that all of you have the ability to read and understand scientific literature because you had to pass that part of the test on your ADA Aptitude Test to even be considered to be accepted to any dental school. However, what the issue is here is a human behavior condition called **GREED**... We dentists have been so successfully tricked that we are at the ready to chant those scripted mantras that were learned in ADA message school and quick to denigrate and attack anyone who says that earth isn't flat.

If for some reason you do refuse to learn what it is that you don't know that you don't know about all of this I will simply continue to work with the knowledgeable public to end this fraud and if suit is filed against each of you I will serve to testify that the board has been made aware of this fraud and has refused to follow the State Dental Act and to honor professional ethics. What happens to each of you afterwards will be up to the courts? Don't forget this is tough love...!

So I am giving all of you Board Members a unique opportunity here to wake up and finally get accurately educated about how you, as well as all dentists and hygienists, were **intentionally** miseducated **by omission** because of the strong arm manipulation of aluminum and phosphate fertilizer corporate America, the USPHS and the ADA...and to learn what was intentionally omitted from your dental education so you can correct this wrong. Then maybe it is the public who will put you folks up on a pedestal instead of you all having to keep putting each other up there and then you all will be true heroes.

If you want to prove me wrong here then the best way is to study what I give you here, plus a lot more that is not included here...and you will see that if you attempt to make wrong my conclusions and explanations here that you will actually be making the ADA and USPHS wrong and they are the ones you are currently beholden to and most likely will attempt to defend.

I am most serious with this matter and if you fail to call for a halt on water fluoridation, as this comes over the horizon I will assist the public in filing formal legal complaints against you dental board members as well as assist with any liable suits.

Sorry to have to take such a hardnosed position but as you know, according to The State Dental Act of Oklahoma, **I don't have a choice**. If I, as a licensed dentist in Oklahoma were to fail to report a threat to the "public's best interest" and to work to protect the public health from whatever the harm is then you as dental board members would have an **obligation** to file charges against me for failing to protect the public health.

However, what I am betting is that what all of you will do is your typical knee jerk reaction and tell me I am wrong and the ADA is correct. That isn't going to fly here as you have absolutely **no controlled science** to use and all you got is your one-liner chants you learned in fluoride message school.

If you board members are so beholden to the ADA's pro fluoride position that you just refuse to learn what was intentionally omitted from your dental education and instead all you want to do is denigrate and silence me it's not necessary to resort to one of your kangaroo courts or any other trickery. I have made this all real simple for you to get my dental license.

As you will see from the enclosed Thorman Letter I am willing to surrender my dental license if any of you can come up with the requested controlled experiment with USPHS recommended fluorides and water at USPHS recommended parts per million, that shows that poisonous fluorides are safe, beneficial and will cause no future body harm. How many of you are so darn certain that you and the ADA are right and I am wrong here are willing to put your dental license on the line as a statement of your rightness. I know the answer already. Cluck-cluck-cluck...

I am willing to give all of you a little time to fully wake up and get the sleep out of your eyes but I will not tolerate any stalling. There is a threat to the public health here and it should take precedence over dealing with that dentist's complaint that was filed about the alleged infractions of the tooth fairy. In my opinion what I have given you here and in the attached Thorman Letter should be more than adequate to cause you to call for an immediate moratorium on water fluoridation in Oklahoma while you study this further.

There is absolutely nothing in the State Dental Act of Oklahoma or in Professional Ethics that requires or obligates you Board Members to defend the ADA, the USPHS, the PEW Foundation, any other three letter organization or dentists or hygienists...you are **ONLY** charged with protecting the public. A lot of folks will be watching your actions and they won't tolerate lip-service to the State Dental Act or to Higher Law. All of you are charged with protecting the "public health, safety and general welfare...in the public's best interest." Regarding water fluoridation, you ain't in the ballpark or even close to it. To avoid hypocrisy either "protect the public" or delete that "protect the public" requirement from the State Dental Act.

If you dental board members, instead of acting with the required integrity, would prefer to just denigrate me and come after me under the guise of making false, fraudulent or misleading comments, then come on. Moving this to a public courtroom with a real judge and a jury of my peers would provide us fluoride opponents with an excellent opportunity to put each of you board members on the witness stand under oath, without time limitations, to question all of you to great depth about your credentials and to document your fluoride expertise or lack of expertise. What the fluoride opponents will be able to do is have **REAL** fluoride experts testify who **have no vested interest to protect** who can present all kinds of controlled and accurate scientific documentation without those insulting 5 minute time limitations. There would also be the ability for the opponents to question, without limitations, dentists as well as those fluorosilicic acid mongering ADA, USPHS and PEW Foundation folks who will all swarm thru the board's back door.

The way I see it there are these choices:

1. Supply me with the requested study that is described in the attached Thorman Letter and I will hand over my dental license and you folks don't have to do anything else to get it...real simple...
2. The Dental Board could file a complaint against me for making false, fraudulent and misleading statements and then this can all be moved to the public courtroom scenario just described.
3. If the dental board is unwilling to file charges against me then I can have complaints filed with the Oklahoma Dental Board, or with a higher court, against each of the board members for failing to honor the State Dental Act which will again allow the above public courtroom scenario to take place, just from a different direction.
4. Have the board members willingly and honestly study all of this information, including reading Bryson's book. Then study more of the historical and current water fluoridation science that is available that I and others can supply you with. Meanwhile, call for an **immediate moratorium** on water fluoridation while you board members honor your ethics by learning what was intentionally omitted from your dental school curriculum.
5. Wake up and call for an immediate halt to water fluoridation in Oklahoma and tell the ADA and the USPHS that it's time to pop this water fluoridation zit and let healing begin.
6. Require all dentists and hygienists in Oklahoma to attend a water fluoridation ethics course to learn what was intentionally omitted from their dental education process.
7. ?

To board members and dentists in general, the ball is temporarily in your court... Again, this is not about me, I am just the messenger. This is about all of you dentists and hygienist who have been grossly miseducated, by omission, by the American Dental Association and who refuse, due to pressure from the ADA and others, to learn what it is that you don't know that you don't know. Doing nothing is not an option. By the time you read this, the text of all of this will have already been distributed widely to many fluoride opponents both domestically and internationally. Since what I write about here applies to all the dental boards in the United States, as well as everywhere on this globe, I will **strongly** encourage **all fluoride opponents** to use this information to assist in waking up and educating the dentists in their region.

There comes a time when a true follower of The State Dental Act of Oklahoma must take a stand that's neither safe nor politic nor popular but he/she must take a stand because it is right. Hero's or hypocrites...Which will it be?

Yes, I know you probably don't like what I write here but that's tough. As one of my most respected teachers, Father Dan Allen, would say, it's time to **"Give A Damn."**

Sincerely,



Jim Maxey DDS

Attached is the Thorman Letter. After that you will find my June 23, 2014, letter to the Tulsa World newspaper editor and next a letter that was sent to me by William R Evans DDS. His letter might also reflect the thoughts of you board members. Following Evans letter is my reply to his letter. After that is a photo titled Welcome To Greedville.

ATTENTION...To all you dentists who viciously support the addition of the toxic waste chemical fluorosilicic acid to the public drinking water supply.

I'VE HAD ENOUGH...

Jim Maxey, D.D.S.
The Thorman Letter

I've had enough of all those dentists who so arrogantly support water fluoridation and who go around constantly bad mouthing, criticizing, and otherwise denigrating all those conscious, concerned and well-educated fluoridation opponents who dare to present accurate science that documents water fluoridation does **not** reduce or prevent tooth decay nor is water fluoridation safe, beneficial and of value. I've had enough of this illusion that has been created by the United States Public Health Service and the American Dental Association in an attempt to make it appear that dentists are somehow fluoride "EXPERTS." Regarding water fluoridation, dentists are by far the least knowledgeable and the most stubborn to learning the accurate scientific facts surrounding this fluoride fraud.

If you are a dentist or a pro fluoride individual I know that first paragraph well could have plugged you in to the point that you already thru this in the trash and your feathers are ruffled and you are red faced and you don't even get to this second paragraph. So if you did get this far, before I get into my story I want to give you an opportunity to seriously get even with me for what I just wrote in the previous paragraph. To encourage you to read on I want to make you an offer, an inducement, an enticement to continue. This will be an offer you pro water fluoridation dentists won't be able to refuse...

HERE GOES...

Back in the 1950's and 60's there was a group of about 50 dentist, medical doctors, nurses, attorneys, PhD's and others who made a \$ 100,000.00 offer:

"To the first individual who can provide one copy of any controlled experiment with the U.S. Public Health Service recommended fluorides and water at USPHS recommended parts per million, that shows that poisonous fluorides are (as published as fact by promoters of fluoridation) safe, beneficial and will cause no future body harm."

Most of the individuals who made this offer are now either retired or deceased. However, the fact is, this \$ 100,000.00 was never claimed as no one ever came up with the requested controlled experiment. Yet all the pro water fluoridation dentists continue to claim that fluoride is “safe, beneficial and of value and will cause no harm and will prevent or reduce tooth decay,” Yet no one has ever presented **even one** controlled US Public Health study to document these claims... Where is the proof...so why do you keep spouting your praise for the addition of the toxic arsenic laced waste chemical fluorosilicic acid to the public drinking water supply when the USPHS, ADA, EPA or the FDA has never scientifically proven that it is” safe, beneficial and will cause no future body harm” as you pro fluoride folks claim?

I will prove the beliefs of all of you pro fluoride folks to be simply a very skillful brainwashing by the US Public Health Service and the American Dental Association.

As evidence of my sincerity and confidence in my understanding of fluoride science I hereby make you pro folks a new offer. That original \$ 100,000.00 has sort of faded away as no one ever came up with the requested controlled experiment. Taking into consideration inflation, to make an equivalent offer today it would have to be in the neighborhood of one million dollars. Since it would take effort to round up sponsors I will instead **very significantly** up the ante and make the following offer:

**I will surrender my Oklahoma license to practice dentistry to
the first dentist who can meet the very simple
requirements of the original
\$ 100,000.00 offer as stated a few paragraphs back.**

That should have all of you pro water fluoridation dentists chomping at the bit to get me... **So come and get me...get my license...**you pro folks claim all your “science” proves you pro water fluoridation folks to be right...Here is where you can now put the requested documentation where your mouth is. Scientifically prove it...

It's time for all you pro fluoride dentists to either put up or shut up...

So if any of you want to grab my dental license then I suggest you read what I write here so you will know what you are up against. Otherwise, if you decline to learn what has been **intentionally** omitted from your dental education you will continue to have an **intentionally** created educationally deficiency in the water fluoridation arena...and all of you dentists will also be in **extreme** violation of your professional ethics? You know, those ethics where you promised to honor the Golden Rule and not do to others what you don't want done to yourself.

* * * * § * * * *

About thirty some years ago Charles C. Bass, MD, a Professor of Experimental Medicine and the Dean of Tulane Medical School, via one of his publications (Some Important Developments Presently Influencing Dental Health In America, C.C.Bass, M.D., New Orleans), made me aware of some science regarding fluorides and water fluoridation that was not taught to me (or any of us dentists) in dental school. His writing opened up a window to a tremendous amount of extremely accurate fluoridation science that I now know had been **intentionally** withheld from my formal dental school education...as is true for **all** dentists.

For a couple of years I studied in depth more fluoride science, **including** that published by the US Public Health Service and the American Dental Association, and more and more had it confirmed to me that while in dental school that myself and all of us dental students had been, via **omissions, intentionally** miseducated about water fluoridation science. I then began to speak and write about my findings and would share my findings with dentists or the media or to patients. What I ran into was that dentists had absolutely no desire to listen or look at this as they already knew they were “right” and I was “wrong.” I even had situations where several dentists would give me single digit salutes or corner and assault me and explain to me just what they thought I should do. In time I ceased attempting to get dentists to listen and learn. The media folks would always “consult” with their personal dentist and that dentist would inform them that I was “wrong” and they were “right.” Thus the media disappeared.

Yes, I have an attitude here and I am not interested in some dentist telling me it is easier to catch flies with honey than vinegar. I emptied my honey jar years ago as all it got was zero. I am way past that... How many times do you tell your child to clean up their room before you get a smidgen of an attitude?

**It's time for the mainstream
Dentists to end the intellectually
Lazy attitude that what they
Learned in dental school is all
They need to know.**

Charles G. Brown

National Council, Consumers for Dental Choice

www.toxicteeth.org

Eventually I wrote the Fluoride Fraud section on my educational web site www.DentalConfessions.com and later added the fluoride Ethics section to that site. My stats show me that this site is currently being visited by fluoride opponents from all over this planet and I am in direct contact with many of them and am getting thankful

feedback. However, with the exception of a very few dentists, this educational site of mine is just getting the cold shoulder from the vast majority of the dentist.

There are many communities around this planet who have very knowledgeable water fluoridation opponents who know of the true science regarding the hazards of water fluoridation...yet what happens is that no matter how much accurate data these well educated folks present in their communities...along comes those “expert” dentists who can **only** denigrate the knowledgeable citizens since the dentists have absolutely no valid science to document that fluoridated water has the ability to reduce or prevent tooth decay or is safe.

So what happens is the less knowledgeable or confused public often sides with the dentists as the dentists have always been identified as the kind, caring, sensitive and educated “experts.” Thus the dentists, the American Dental Association (ADA) the American Medical Association (AMA) and the other pro water fluoridation associations often win.

Well, I know the difference and I have had enough and I am darn tired of listening to the rehearsed ADA scripted message chants that are at the ready to denigrate the awake, accurately knowledgeable and conscious public as well as the outright fraudulent science being quoted by all those dentists who profess to be pro water fluoridation “experts.” It’s very obviously to me, and you will soon see, that, regarding water fluoridation, dentists are the **least** knowledgeable group on the planet and are only pseudo fluoride “experts” and I will document it here. Here goes...

* * * * \$ * * * *

In my local newspaper, the Tulsa World, there have been several letters to the editor appearing that are from water fluoridation opponents and proponents. Those who are opposed to water fluoridation quote accurate science. When dentists reply with their own letters, since they have absolutely no science to support water fluoridation, they never do present any science, all they can do is just chant pre rehearsed messages that were scripted by the USPHS and the ADA and then continue to denigrate the water fluoridation opponents.

There was recently (April 04, 2014) a letter to the editor in the Tulsa World that is so perfect for stating the position of pro fluoride dentists and the American Dental Association that I just have to respond to it in great detail. What follows is the exact letter from Jerry Thorman, DDS.

* * * * \$ * * * *

Dr. Jerry Thorman’s letter

PREVENTION

Oh, my gosh, here we go again. Both the American Dental Association and the American Medical Association advocate fluoridation water to reduce the amount of tooth decay in children. There is a small but vocal group of naysayers who have claimed that fluoride causes everything from dandruff to ingrown toenails and everything in between.

After Okmulgee started fluoridating our drinking water, I noticed a significant reduction in cavities in children. Unbeknownst to everyone, the city stopped fluoridating our water.

I was perplexed when I started seeing children with significantly more cavities, until I called the city manager and found out the city had quit fluoridation several years back.

In Okmulgee, not only eliminating fluoridation of our water supply but the burgeoning use of bottled water has all but eliminated fluoride from our drinking water.

Dentistry focuses on prevention as well as treatment. There are so many dental problems in our country, we are not worried about running out of business because of prevention, nor are we going to reduce the incidence of tooth decay with something detrimental to child's health.

The fluoridation issue brings other issues, including arsenic. Arsenic, along with other toxic minerals, is an element, a heavy metal, that often occurs naturally in water in minute amounts, as well as the environment, whether we fluoridate or not.

Jerry Thorman, DDS, Okmulgee

* * * * \$ * * * *

I do not personally know Dr. Thorman and nothing I write here has anything to do with him personally or with his professional skills as a good, kind and caring dentist. I am just using his letter as a very good example as to how dentists in general view water fluoridation and how they negatively label anyone who is opposed to it. I actually view his letter as being representative of thousands of dentists. So when ever I mention Dr. Thorman's name I want you readers to understand that I really mean Dr. Thorman and all those thousands of pro water fluoridation dentists.

* * * * \$ * * * *

Before I delve into Dr. Thorman's letter I want to explain to you the facts regarding **any** dentist's dental school education regarding water fluoridation. The dentist's formal dental school water fluoridation educational curriculum has really not changed since I was in dental school from 1968 to 1972. What I explain here is still true today...

I graduated from the University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Dentistry in 1972. I am a licensed and practicing dentist in Tulsa Oklahoma. To be licensed in Oklahoma and other states a dentist has to graduate from a dental school that is accredited by the American Dental Association (ADA). For a dental school to be accredited by the ADA that school has to meet the **very strict** curriculum requirements of the ADA. Therefore,

all the accredited dental schools are carbon copies of each other. So it doesn't matter which ADA accredited dental school one attends, they are all the same except maybe for the color of the wallpaper.

When I was in dental school, one day during one of our preventative dentistry courses, a **very few** minutes were devoted to telling us students that "fluoridated drinking water reduced and prevented tooth decay and it was safe, beneficial and of value and caused no bodily harm." One of my classmates said something about hearing that fluoride was a toxic chemical and should not be added to the drinking water. We were subsequently instructed very explicitly that it is the dentists who are the "experts" and that the public did not know anything about fluoride and that fluoride was safe and that anyone who disagreed was unscientific, a fear monger and should be denigrated...and if we ever expected to graduate from dental school we had darn well better defend water fluoridation and not question it.

That was it, no book, no slides, no PowerPoint, no tests, no nothing...just this very brief statement one day...The ADA does not want dentists to know truthful and accurate water fluoridation science so they **intentionally keep it out** of the dental school curriculum and instead, as I will document soon, just supply dentist with scripted messages to spout. That was the **total** amount of "facts" we students were taught about water fluoridation. Many dentists unfortunately hold that this very brief indoctrination (brainwashing) they were given in dental school one afternoon makes them fluoride "experts."

I want you to understand the depth to which the American Dental Association (ADA) controls dentist, the dental school curriculum as well as dentistry in general. When someone decides they want to go to dental school they first have to pass the ADA's Dental Aptitude Test to even apply to a dental school. The ADA heavily influences and controls the dental schools course curriculum. At the end of the second year the dental students have to pass another ADA test to go on to the third year. Then at the end of the fourth year another ADA test to graduate. Then to be licensed in any state the dental boards require that a dentist has to have graduated from an ADA accredited school. Then there is the back door the ADA has into all the state dental boards.

Dentist take what they learn in dental school as Gospel Truth. We are taught how to prep teeth for fillings or crowns. We are taught how to make prosthetic dental appliance such as partial or full dentures. We are taught many very specific procedures and techniques. We don't deviate. We do as we are taught. If we expect to graduate from dental school we had darn well do as we are told and taught. If we don't do specific procedures as taught, we fail and don't graduate. Well, this water fluoridation scenario is the same. Dentists don't question what they are taught in dental school, they take it as TRUTH which means that dentists are to viciously attack and denigrate anyone who is opposed to fluoridation. These ADA controlled dental schools are very good at turning out very skilled and professional dentists...but these schools are total failures at turning out anything that even remotely resembles a "fluoride expert."

Because of the American Dental Association's control over the dental schools there is no such thing as a scientifically accurate Water Fluoridation 101 course taught in any dental school in this country, and there never has been. Should any dentists approach you with that pretense that they are the "experts," I suggest you ask them what their qualifications are, and have them show you on their **dental school course transcript** where their fluoride coursework is documented. Also ask them to show you on their Dental Board list of continuing education credits where they have taken any continuing education courses on water fluoridation since their graduation from dental school. They won't be able to provide any such documentation as no courses have ever existed ... and never have. The public has been viciously misled into believing that dentists are water fluoridation "experts" when in fact that is clearly not the case. Dentists are so far removed from the accurate water fluoridation science that they cannot even see the ball park of truthful water fluoridation science.

If, by some slim chance, a dental school(s) were to have devoted anytime to teach additional information on water fluoridation they would have only taught very selective information from the time period of the very late 1940's forward. They would have intentionally omitted any information from the time period of the early 1900's to about the middle of the 1940's. And, if a dental school were to teach dentists the 1900 to mid-1940's fluoride science I doubt very seriously that dentists would support water fluoridation. More on this later...

* * * * \$ * * * *

Back in the 1980's when I began my independent study of the water fluoridation I decide to contact the ADA and ask for the science they had that was involved in their 1950 decision to endorse water fluoridation. What they sent me were numerous water studies that were done by the USPHS back in the 1940's under the direction of H. Trendley Dean DDS, the **chief dental officer** at the USPHS. Dean was assigned the responsibility of manipulating fluoride into the public drinking water. He then conducted studies where the parts per million of fluoride in a community's drinking water was determined. In addition, in these communities they also did oral examinations on some of the children and counted the number of decayed, missing and filled teeth. That's it...that's all that was done! This data was then scripted into "studies" that were used to justify water fluoridation.

Among these were several of the "studies" for the Newburgh-Kingston caries-fluorine study which went on for fifteen years or so. I was also given several years of the Grand Rapids fluoridation study as well as studies for other cities such as Galesburg IL., Colorado Springs, CO., Elmhurst, IL., Evanston, IL. Portsmouth, OH., Lima, OH., and about 20 more.

As I read these "studies" the ADA sent to me it became apparent that these "studies" never compared fluoridated to non-fluoridated communities. They all compared fluoridated to fluoridated communities. The only difference between the communities fluoride levels was the part per million (ppm) of fluoride in the water and the monthly

consistency or inconsistency of the concentration. The USPHS handpicked from these those “studies” that fit their predetermined goal. What the USPHS “concluded” from these “studies” was that the “higher the fluoride ppm in the drinking water the lower the tooth decay rate and the lower the fluoride ppm in the drinking water the higher the tooth decay rate.” Unfortunately the “facts” surrounding these “studies” do not support the conclusions reached. I will soon document that for you pro fluoridation folks.

I doubt very seriously that there are any pro fluoride dentists out there who has ever read any of these long and boring “classical” water studies. If they had, they would have seen that all these “studies” were very carefully sculptured and scripted so that when I got thru reading them it took me awhile to be able to read between the lines to finally realize that the conclusions reached did not even come close to fitting the “facts” presented. My concerns were confirmed when I read court room transcript testimony of the USPHS Chief Dental officer, H. Trendley Dean, DDS, that same USPHS dental officer that was assigned the job of masterminding the manipulation of this water fluoridation fraud.

Under oath Dr. Dean was cross-examined before the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California in Oroville, October 20-21, 1955. Dean acknowledged that there were a “number of changes in the water supply of the cities” and that “you didn’t have a long continuous history of exactly the same water supply.” Dean also stated that “The basic requisite is that the water supply have no relative changes during the lifetime of the population examined.” What Dean was saying is that these “studies” did not meet the perquisites of a controlled scientific study. Documentation for this is on line. Just search for H. Trendley Dean, D.D.S., Oroville CA 1955.

Those water studies the USPHS and the ADA used to justify the decision to begin fluoridation of the public drinking water did not follow the scientific method and were not valid controlled or peer reviewed scientific studies. He acknowledged that in the tested cities the “water sources were not consistent.” What also needs to be understood is that they did not follow the same children from year to year. In addition there was absolutely no consideration for the mineral content of the water, nutrition, oral hygiene care or dental care. These water “studies” of Dean’s ALL had multiple variables, the majority of which were **not** taken into consideration. By definition, a “controlled scientific” study does not have multiple variables...especially variables not taken into consideration.

So I would discourage any of you pro fluoride dentists from using any of the USPHS “classical water studies” in an attempt to get my dental license as they are not controlled scientific studies and their credibility has been squashed by their creator.

I know you pro fluoride dentists always ask the fluoride opponents if the studies they quote to document the known adverse health effects from fluoridation are peer reviewed. We all know “peer” review can be slanted depending on who the “reviewers” are beholden to. For example, there is this study done by a fox. The fox determined that is safe for the foxes to guard the chickens. The chickens did not like that and ask if this study had been peer reviewed. So the fox organized its peers and all the foxes voted that

the study was accurate. So the chickens did not have a choice, they are now guarded by the foxes...think those chickens feel safe.

So to you pro fluoride folks...I ask you if Dean's water studies were "peer reviewed?" Nope, they were not. So don't you pro folks use this "peer review" argument as a smoke screen? I can already tell you what the decision would be from a group of "peers" beholden to the ADA. Notice that the data I give you here to documents the evils of water fluoridation are all from the USPHS and the ADA...back before they sold us out. So if you try to make my facts wrong...you will actually be making the USPHS and the ADA wrong...which will make me right!

* * * * \$ * * * *

Recent large-scale studies from the United States have found little practical or statistical difference in tooth decay rates among children living in fluoridated versus non-fluoridated areas. In addition, data compiled by the World Health Organization shows that tooth decay rates have declined just as rapidly in non-fluoridated western countries as they have in fluoridated western countries. I am not going into detail here about these studies as they are on line. I would suggest that all of you pro-fluoride dentist go to www.FluorideAlert.org for more details about this WHO study.

* * * * \$ * * * *

In this letter of April 04, 2014 praising water fluoridation Dr. Thorman is actually documenting another of a dentist's serious educational deficiencies regarding the science of water fluoridation.

First, that Okmulgee drinking water was not "fluoridated" with "fluoride." What was used is the toxic chemical **fluorosilicic acid** which is an industrial waste chemical soup that is left over from aluminum and phosphate fertilizer production. That toxic fluorosilicic acid chemical is a soup that is contaminated with twenty to thirty other toxic chemicals including arsenic which is found at levels that vary but are usually in the neighborhood of 50 parts per million (ppm).

Actually there is not a chemical compound called "fluoride." Fluorine (F) is a chemical element as found on the Periodic Table of the Elements. Fluorine is a halogen in the same chemical family as chlorine, iodine and bromine. Fluorine is the most electronegative and chemically active and most dangerous of all the halogens. Fluorine makes chlorine look like child's play. You will not find elemental fluorine just floating around. It is only found in nature in combination with some other element(s).

Fluorine reacts with, among other things, calcium, sodium and magnesium to form very strong and difficult to reverse, chemical compounds. When elemental fluorine reacts with any of these other elements the "ine" on Fluorine is changed to "ide" as in fluoride. The compound then formed is called calcium fluoride or sodium fluoride or magnesium

fluoride. Those are examples of a “fluoride.” But there is no such thing as “fluoride” by itself. So “fluoride” is not used to “fluoridate” the drinking water. So what is used to “fluoridate” the drinking water?

That would be **fluorosilicic acid**. Fluorosilicic acid is a **non-marketable acidic** waste solution resulting from the production of aluminum and phosphate fertilizer. As I will soon show you waste fluorosilicic acid solution was manipulated into this water fluoridation scenario by corporate America as a way of increasing profits for the shareholders of the aluminum phosphate fertilizer producers. Any product manufacture is going to have some type of waste left over and will always look for a market that wants to incorporate that waste into their production line. For examples, particle board products that are made from waste wood scraps and sawdust from lumber milling. If there is not a market for the waste then it has to be disposed of usually for an expense which cuts into profits for owners or shareholders. So the aluminum and phosphate fertilizers had, and still has, all this highly toxic waste fluorosilicic acid to expensively dispose of as there is no market for it. So to make a long story short, the aluminum and phosphate fertilizer manufactures created a market by purchasing the USPHS and the ADA and created a profitable “need.”

So we need to quit referring to “water fluoridation” as “fluoridation” and start calling it as it is, which “water fluorosilicication.” But, that’s too complicated to say so I will use the improper but accepted term water “fluoridation.”

For additional information on how this fluoride fraud was created I would suggest you read the article, Nutrition, Fluoridation and Dental Health: Weston A. Price versus Gerald J Cox by Sylvia P. Onusic, PhD, CNS, LDN, in the Spring 2014 Wise Traditions a publication of The Weston A. Price Foundation.

* * * * *

Dr. Thorman (etal) states that “Both the American Dental Association and the American Medical Association advocate fluoridated water to reduce the amount of tooth decay in children.” I fully agree with him that the ADA and the AMA all “advocate water fluoridation” as does the World Health Organization (WHO), the FDA, the EPA, the PEW Foundation and many other “prestigious” organizations.

What Dr. Thorman fails to acknowledge is that ALL of these “prestigious” organizations have a basket of dirty laundry they don’t want anyone to know about and they will viciously deny its existence? (I expect Dr. Thorman will want to deny it also.) Water fluoridation is one of the items in that basket of dirty laundry. I am not saying that all of these organizations do only bad and wrong. They all do many great things but there is still some dirty laundry. So I would caution all you pro water fluoridation dentists to not get too puffed up about a pro water fluoridation endorsement from any of these organizations as your naivety will show.

These three lettered organizations all have a **HUGE** vested interests to protect and they all are using you dentists as pawns to further their **interest**. These organizations number one goal is to serve themselves first and humanity maybe falls third or fourth down the line.

These organizations are all made up by human beings, people...without these people there are no organizations. The main goal of any organization is survival...so they, the people, do and say what is needed to survive. These organizations are made up of rich and powerful individuals and they viciously want to survive and be viewed as prestigious...at all costs. To understand this fluoride fraud all you have to do is have a very basic understanding of the Periodic Table of the Elements, a little knowledge of biochemistry, physiology and **especially** human behavior and the willingness to get your head out of whatever denial zone it is stuck in.

To understand better the motives of these organizations you need to look no further than who sits on their boards and where their funding comes from and what they gain by promoting water fluoridation. Remember that dentists have a vested interest to support water fluoridation. Imagine some dentist wanting to move up the Status Ladder at the ADA and that dentist has cleared up his/her water fluoridation educational deficiency and is ethical and speaks out about this fluoride fraud. Take Dr. Dean for example. If he had opposed heading up the deceptive water studies and had refused to support the addition of fluorosilicic acid to the public drinking water supplies he would have just been pushed to the side, demoted, terminated or something other than being made a **hero and given a life time high ranking job and a lucrative retirement**.

What you have here is the foxes guarding the hen house. Or, to say is more accurately, just follow the money. The public is getting better educated about this fluoride fraud than are the dentists and I would pray that you dentists climb down off that fluoride pedestal you have put yourselves on and realize it will be much easier to ride the horse in the direction it is going...and it will also be less humiliating for each of you dentists when you realize you have been duped.

**It's much easier to fool someone than to convince them
they have been fooled.**

Mark Twain

* * * * \$ * * * *

As for Dr. Thorman's writing that "There is a small but vocal group of naysayers who have claimed that fluoride causes everything from dandruff to ingrown toenails and everything in between."

I have studied boxes full of various fluoridation data, both for and against water fluoridation. I have never seen one reference to any small group of naysayers who claim

that water fluoridation causing dandruff or ingrown toenails. I will have to ask Dr. Thorman to share his documentation with me...if he is unable to then I would just have to consider his comment here to be a self-serving asinine comment made to denigrate water fluoridation opponents. And, I do realize that dentist are trained to make these denigrating comments as the dentist has absolutely no science to back they pro fluoride claims. I invite Dr. Thorman to bring forth your science as requested in the original \$ 100,000.00 offer and claim my dental license.

I will now show you that it is true, as documented by the American Dental Association and the United States Public Health Service (USPHS) in several publications that fluoride in drinking water does cause and/or contribute to a long list of adverse health effects.

What Thurman's letter documents is his total lack of knowledge of the American Dental Association's **official** position on water fluoridation prior to their 1950 about face.

Apparently Dr. Thorman, has failed to research the **very prestigious** dental journal, The Journal of the American Dental Association. If he was up to speed with his fluoride education he would know that the American Dental Association has published that "...fluoride is a highly toxic substance...drinking water containing as little as 1.2 to 3.0 parts per million will cause developmental disturbances in bones as osteosclerosis spondylosis and osteopetrosis, as well as goiter." Also stated is that there has been a "...wholesale and indiscriminate use of fluorides as a corrective of the carious process in teeth, without regard to its possible baneful effects upon the teeth and other tissues of the body." (The Journal of the American Dental Association, Vol.31, October 01, 1944, pg. 1360) Also stated in that ADA Journal is that ingesting fluoridated drinking water also causes "mottled teeth" Mottling is just another name for dental fluorosis, which, Dr. Thorman, all of us "naysayers" knows is that dental fluorosis is the first visible sign of chronic fluoride poisoning.

Also stated in another copy of the prestigious Journal of the American Dental Association is that "Fluorine is a general protoplasmic poison" and "...a comparison of toxicity data suggests that fluorine, lead and arsenic belong to the same group as far as the ability to cause some symptom of toxicity in minute dosage..." and that "...fluorine...interferes with metabolism and with the enzymatic processes associated with normal bone and tooth formation." (JADA, Vol. 23, April, 1936, pg. 568).

God has not changed fluoride or human physiology since this 1936 and 1944 ADA publications and the above quotes from these prestigious Journal of the American Dental Association publications is still **just as accurate today** as when published.

All that has changed since then is that the trusted officials at the US Public Health Service and the American Dental Association sold their souls to the corporate aluminum and fertilizer industry. Then H. Trendley Dean, DDS, the chief dental officer at the

USPHS worked with the ADA to dupe the practicing dentist, and with the blessing of those corporate entities authorized that communities were to begin dripping the very toxic and arsenic contaminated fluorosilicic acid chemicals into our drinking water under the falsehood that “fluoride” would “prevent tooth decay” and was **now** somehow miraculously “safe, beneficial and of value.”

So to all you pro-fluoride dentists out there who are spouting off all your scripted and rehearsed comments in support of water fluoridation, your “facts” have no scientific proof to back them up. You only have pseudo-science. With **hard sciences** such as physics and chemistry, **truth** can be scientifically **proven**

Whenever I share these ADA publications with any dentist or some other pro fluoride individual what these folks usually tell me is that these studies are old and not valid any longer and should just be trashed. Their reason for trashing these “old” publications is not based on any “new” science but actually this is just their denial rearing its ugly head in their quest to continue to be “right.” What I usually do is question them about their conclusion that just because some studies have been around for a few years they are not valid. If they refuse to listen and instead maintain their position...what I do at that time is hand them a Bible and tell to throw that Bible in the trash as that Bible must not be valid either as it is much older and therefore must be even less valid than the JADA.

Again God has not changed humans or fluoride. Current science has continued to document that this industrial waste being dripped into our drinking water, among other things, causes dental fluorosis, which is the first visible symptom of chronic fluoride poisoning, as well as contributes to brain toxicity, a lowered IQ, contributes to cardiac diseases, thyroid problems, cancers and birth defects, autism like symptoms and more. A couple of years ago, at Harvard’s Forsythe Dental Research Institute, which is a research lab that is often used by and supported by the American Dental Association, it was documented that water fluoridation **caused autism like symptoms** in animal studies. In an attempt to cover up this study the Forsythe Institute terminated the researcher, Dr. Phyllis Mullenix, a prestigious toxicologist, and the ADA put that study in their dirty laundry basket.

* * * * \$ * * * *

As for Dr. Thorman claims that when Okmulgee first began to fluoridate the drinking water that he “noticed a significant reduction in cavities in children.” Then he writes that when he “started seeing children with significantly more cavities...he found out the city had quit fluoridation several years back.” This comment is just proof as to just how effective the ADA and the USPHS was in creating this water fluoridation fraud. I could get into a long story here about how ingested fluoride actually causes dental fluorosis which is actually an interference with normal tooth development and fluorosis is the first visible symptom of chronic fluoride poisoning. That all occurs while the teeth are still developing in the jaws. The signs of fluoride toxicity displayed in a tooth happens many years before the tooth ever erupts into the mouth. Dr. Thorman makes a self-serving comment here in his attempt to be right. He obviously does not take into consideration

nutrition or oral hygiene care. He is indirectly claiming that his patients are a scientifically accurate sampling of Okmulgee. May be, but I would like to see his scientific documentation record that support his findings. He isn't going to have any of that as I would bet that his only goal is to make all fluoride opponents to appear in a negative light so Thorman will gain status in the pro fluoride dental community. His personal anecdotal comments just better document his water fluoridation educational deficiencies.

As for Dr. Thorman's support for ingesting arsenic: The EPA sets the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) at 0.010 parts per million (ppm) for arsenic in drinking water. That MCL is enforceable. However, the Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG) is zero and is not enforceable.

So the EPA has a goal of ZERO parts per million for arsenic in drinking water!

As already confirmed by the American Dental Association "...a comparison of toxicity data suggests that fluorine, lead and arsenic belong to the same group as far as the ability to cause some symptom of toxicity in minute dosage..."

That's why the MCLG level is zero. Dr Thorman thinks that just because "arsenic often occurs naturally in minute amounts" **that this is a justification for intentionally adding more of it** to the already contaminated public drinking water. So the EPA says the "GOAL" is zero which means that to intentionally ADD arsenic is in violation of the EPA's "goal." I am not going to get into arsenic toxicity here as, again, the ADA has already published that "arsenic, fluoride and lead are ALL compatible as far as their ability to cause harm even in minute quantities." So that's a given! God still has not changed this scientific fact.

A major point to understand here is that arsenic, like fluoride, **accumulates** in the body and a person's arsenic and fluoride levels gradually increase in direct proportion as to how much is consumed. Look at your body as being a bucket and the arsenic, the other toxins and the fluoride are dripped into your bucket (body) day after day after day...and they accumulate. How full does your body bucket have to get before adverse health effects manifest. What happens when your bucket gets full?

Water fluoridation is **NOT** done for the purpose of treating or purifying drinking water. Water fluoridation is done to supposedly treat a disease. If a parent wants to give their child a fluoride supplement they have to get a prescription from a physician or a dentist. Yet fluoride is added to a drinking water supply without a prescription or **consent**.

A very important point here is to understand that water departments can control the **concentration** of that waste fluorosilicic acid that is dripped into our drinking water but **they cannot control the dose**. The more water someone drinks, the more fluoride and arsenic ingested and the more those can **accumulate**.

* * * * \$ * * * *

As for Dr. Thorman, holding himself out as being a water fluoridation expert I know that if you study Dr. Thorman's dental school transcript that you will not find any documentation that he, or any other dentists, has ever taken a Water Fluoridation 101 course or any other water fluoridation course...especially a course that studies the fluoride science published between 1900 and the middle 1940's. I would encourage Dr. Thorman to clear up his educational deficiencies before he places himself on this fluoride expert pedestal.

I am very clear of a dentist's lack of adequate and accurate knowledge of water fluoridation. I have been there...I used to believe like them. I know what was taught to us about water fluoridation while in dental school and I am now extremely aware of what we dentists were **intentionally NOT** taught about water fluoridation...

* * * * * \$ * * * * *

Knowing the smidgen of fluoride "education" I (we) received in dental school I know that dentists are only pseudo-fluoride experts. For the last 30 years I have studied the historical facts surrounding the ADA's and the US Public Health Service's (USPHS) decision to endorse and promote the fluoridation of the public drinking water. I have boxes full of ADA and USPHS publications that were truthful about the toxic effects of water fluoridation and were published in the 1920's and 30's prior to the ADA and the USPHS doing an about face in the late 1940's and early 50's when they were strong armed by the fertilizer and aluminum industries to approve their toxic waste chemicals to be disposed of into the drinking water under the guise of preventing tooth decay.

The US administrator over the USPHS at the time, Oscar Ewing, was a former lead attorney for ALCOA (aluminum) when ALCOA was facing numerous lawsuits for poisoning workers, farmers, crops and livestock with toxic fluoride emissions that went up the smoke stack from nearby manufacturing facilities. The Department of Agriculture recognized the problem, and aluminum and fertilizer manufacturing plants were ordered to stop sending the fluoride waste up the smokestacks and instead had to install water spray scrubbers to capture the waste fluoride, which resulted in all of this toxic liquid waste to dispose of, but without a viable market unless they were able to manipulate the USPHS to fraudulently convince the public to accept waste fluoride in the water supply, "to prevent tooth decay." The campaign and controversy continue, but the public is increasingly learning these inconvenient truths.

* * * * * \$ * * * * *

Although Dr. Thorman, in his letter to the editor, does not specifically state that water fluoridation is "safe, beneficial and of value and will cause no body harm" he does very clearly imply that. So let's look at this word "safe." According to Webster's Dictionary "safe" is defined as:

“SAFE: Secure from liability to harm, injury, danger or risk. Dependable or trustworthy. Denied the chance to do harm.”

I think that we all will accept that as what “safe” means. So how does the ADA and the USPHS and WHO and EPA, and FDA, and all those other three letter organizations get by with telling us that dripping arsenic contaminated fluorosilicic acid into our drinking water is “safe?”

ANSWER: Word spin, or as some call it, fraud or trickery...Here is my supporting documentation:

In 1985 in Washington DC. I gave testimony at an EPA water fluoridation hearing. One of the documents I received was a Report to the Surgeon General: by the Ad Hoc Committee on the NON-DENTAL EFFECTS OF FLUORIDE IN DRINKING WATER

This report was: “Based upon discussion and recommendations made during a meeting held in Bethesda, Maryland on April 18-19, 1983. This report was “Submitted by: Jay R. Shapiro, M.D., Chairman, September 26, 1983.”

On page one of this report it states that the Surgeon General (July 3, 1982) agreed that “No sound evidence exists which shows that drinking water with the various concentrations of fluoride found naturally in public drinking water has any adverse effect on general health.”

This report is addressing the “margin of safety” of water fluoridation and concludes that there is no “adverse health effect on general health.”

Now what needs to be understood is their definition of “**adverse health effect.**” On page 6 of this report is stated “The definition of “adverse health effect” as related to fluoride was assumed by the group to include: a. Death (acute poisoning), gastrointestinal hemorrhage, c. gastrointestinal irritation, d. arthralgia, e. crippling fluorosis.”

I fully agree that none of those listed “adverse health effects” are cause by “concentrations of fluoride found in public drinking water”. But I also know that adverse health effect for humans and animals do occur when ingesting the toxic fluorosilicic acid but are just not listed in this reports definition of “adverse health effect.”

There are several **health effects** that are cause by, or contributed to, by water fluoridation that are just conveniently **omitted** from their definition of “**adverse health effects.**” This report states that “Mild osteosclerosis was not considered an adverse health effect...” and that “increased tissue osteoid was not considered an adverse health effect” and that “a radiodense skeleton...was not considered to be an adverse health effect on health.” Even though these listed health effects are cause by or contributed to by consuming fluoridated water, they are conveniently, by definition only, and not by science, not included in the definition of “adverse health effects.” I wonder if anyone burdened with any of these

feels better knowing that their symptoms are not “adverse health effects” therefore they just need to live with them and don’t complain.

What this report is doing is spinning the facts around the definition of “adverse health effects” and the favorable conclusions reached out of that spin. The report basically says that only adverse health effects that are NOT caused by water fluoridation **are listed** in the “adverse health effect” category and health effects that **are in fact caused by**, or contributed to, water fluoridation are intentionally not included in the definition of “adverse health effects.” This way it is made to appear that ingesting arsenic laced fluorosilicic acid is “safe” even though these toxins accumulate over your life time. It is also made to appear to be “safe” because any negative health effects you experience are not “adverse” and don’t qualify to be considered not safe.

It’s well known that water fluoridation causes dental fluorosis, which is the first visible symptom of chronic fluoride poisoning and that fluoridation also causes or contributes to other symptoms such as skeletal fluorosis, arthritis, osteosclerosis, brittle bones, thyroid disease, nutritional deficiencies particularly calcium and iodine, cancer, male fertility, hormone disruptions, birth defects, and autism like symptoms in animal studies which were documented at the ADA supported Forsythe Dental Research Institute. Again, go to www.FluorideAlert.org for details.

This Surgeon General report is not the only documentation of word spin done by the USPHS and the ADA. I will refer you to a publication of The University of Michigan titled Classification and Appraisal of Objections to Fluoridation. This publication gives dentist numerous scripted and sculpted message statements that can be rehearsed and memorized to use against Dr. Thorman’s “naysayers.” This way by just rehearsing and memorizing things from message school, it’s not necessary to have any understanding of water fluoridation science, or lack of science...all the dentist has to do is chant the right message and denigrate. This publication is on line, just enter the title into your search. See for yourself how the pro propaganda has been created to support this water fluoridation fraud.

* * * * \$ * * * *

So to Dr. Thorman and all you other pro fluoride dentists who are naysayers to **pure** water and to the fluoride opponents scientifically accurate fluorine science I want you to remember that with **hard sciences** such as physics and chemistry, **truth** can be scientifically **proven**. It doesn’t matter how much you spin or politically modify integrity or how greedy one gets, you cannot change the hard sciences. Rocks are hard and water is wet and you can’t change that. Water fluoridation has never been able to reduce or prevent tooth decay and it has **never** been shown to be safe (Using Webster’s [humanities] definition) and to do no body harm.

What you pro water fluoridation dentists need to get is that for every individual out there who is experiencing symptoms of chronic fluoride poisoning such as dental fluorosis, arthritis, brittle bones, thyroid disease, birth defects or autism like symptoms...What you

guys and gals need to get is that by supporting the addition of that arsenic laced toxic industrial waste chemical fluorosilicic acid to the drinking water supplies...you are **PERSONALLY RESPONSIBLE** for all the harm and misery caused by its ingestion. So while all of you pro fluoride folks stand so proudly on your pro fluoride pedestal...I look at you with embarrassment and definitely not praise

It takes a long time to find out how wrong you are sometimes.

Will Rogers
August 08, 1933

* * * * \$ * * * *

Again I offer you my challenge here and invite you to meet the very simple requirement of the original \$ 100,000.00 offer and present me with just one USPHS controlled experiment as stated on page one of this paper. The public is quickly getting better and better educated about this fluoride fraud that has been imposed on them by the USPHS, the ADA, Dr. Thorman and others. There is now a large segment of the world population that is beginning to negatively view the integrity of all you pro-fluoride dentists and the numbers are growing. It's time for all you pro fluoride dentists to wake up and get your head out of wherever you got it stuck as you are behind the times.

For you pro-fluoride dentists who don't like what I have to say here the best way to get over your red faced ruffled feather syndrome is to send me that requested controlled USPHS study and get my license to practice dentistry. Notice that I have not requested any of you pro-fluoride dentist to put up your license or anything else up for grabs as I know the clucking would get to loud.

* * * * \$ * * * *

So let's look at the controlled scientifically proven peer reviewed pro water fluoridation science...**or lack of it.** H. Trendley Dean DDS, the Chief dental officer of the USPHS, under oath, testifies that the "classical" water studies done in the 1940's that are supposed to document fluorides "benefit" of reducing tooth decay were not controlled scientifically done research studies and were not valid. There has never been a controlled scientific study that documents that ingesting fluoridated drinking water reduces or prevents tooth decay. The socially acceptable definition of "safe" has been spun. Corporate aluminum and fertilizer producers have a significant amount of waste fluorosilicic acid with absolutely no market for it. This means that to **RESPONSIBLY** dispose of that waste will be extremely expensive. To make a long story short, these human being led corporations, via business-political connections strong armed and purchased the souls of the top USPHS and ADA officials and then convinced the ADA leaders to go along with this water fluoridation fraud. Then us dentist were miseducated by **omission.** Remember Brown's statement above: "It's time for the mainstream

dentists to end the intellectually lazy attitude that what they learned in dental school is all they need to know.”

* * * * \$ * * * *

So to all you pro fluoride dentists out there...I have made you an offer here that I pray you will accept. I challenge you to present that study that was requested some 60 or so years ago in the \$ 100,000.00 offer. I have upped the ante. I have put my dental license up for you to capture. Just how certain are you that you are a water fluoridation expert and are “right” in your support of fluoridation? How much is your dental license worth? Are you so certain that you are “right” that you would be willing to put your license on the line? I really doubt that any of you would...So...

**I am not asking you pro fluoride dentists to put anything on
the line other than your integrity.**

Dentist’s professional ethics require that you dentists educate yourselves beyond the usual level and protect the public. Regarding water fluoridation you are doing neither. I have put up my dental license and I am very confident that none of you would be willing to put your license where your mouth is. If you don’t like what I write here then come and get me with your USPHS controlled experiment documentation and prove me wrong...

**It is time for all of you pro water fluoridation folks
To either put up or shut up...**

Please send the requested study to me for evaluation.

Jim Maxey DDS
5930 E 31st St
Suite 600
Tulsa OK 74135

05292014

TULSA WORLD

A9 Monday | June 23, 2014 | tulsaworld.com

LETTERS

Fluoride and water

Tulsa's Annual Water Quality Report-2014, which was included in Tulsa's recent water bills, clearly confirms that the fluorosilicic acid chemical that is being dripped into our drinking water is actually just a toxic industrial waste chemical that is a "discharge from fertilizer and aluminum factories."

The chemical analysis of this industrial waste documents that this fluorosilicic acid is a soup contaminated with numerous toxic elements

including arsenic at levels approaching 50 parts per million.

Those fluoride expert dentists who, from high on their pedestal, praise water fluoridation as being safe and beneficial and of value actually are grossly miseducated by way of what was intentionally omitted from their dental school education.

There never has been a controlled experiment that documents that tooth decay rates have anything to do with water fluoridation.

To the pro-fluoride dentists who are

naysayers to pure water I make this challenge: I will surrender my dental license to the first dentist who can provide one copy of any controlled experiment with the U.S. Public Health Service recommended fluorides and water at USPHS recommended parts per million, that shows that poisonous fluorides are (as published as fact by promoters of fluoridation) safe, beneficial and will cause no future body harm.

Jim Maxey, Tulsa

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR ■ TULSA WORLD, P.O. Box 1770, TULSA, OK 74102
LETTERS@TULSAWORLD.COM ■ FOR MORE LETTERS GO TO WWW.TULSAWORLD.COM/LETTERS

EVANS FAMILY DENTISTRY

Briarwood Professional Center

WILLIAM R. EVANS D.D.S.

8149 East 31ST Street, Ste. D. Tulsa, Ok 74145

BEAU B. EVANS D.D.S.

918.665.2676

Fax 918.641.5743

July 1, 2014

Jim Maxey, DDS
5930 E. 31st St., Ste. 600
Tulsa, OK 74135

Jim:

Thanks for including me in your recent mailing. I can appreciate the massive effort you have obviously spent studying this issue. I envy the purpose in life your obsession must give you. Many of us have to be satisfied with work, family, golf, drinking, etc.

I confess I found your wordy and repetitive diatribe to be similar to your apparent view of the "fluorosilicication cabal" publications. I respect your choice of profession, your intellect and passion for a cause. I just have no respect for the cause.

Out of my respect for you as a fellow professional I want to explain to you what I see as the reason you get so little response or respect from your fellow dentists. While many of them think of me as "not very bright" I honestly think they see you as a conspiracy nut and a bit of an ass, no more dangerous and very similar to the myriad of Oklahoma politicians from whom we constantly hear inane rhetoric. Possibly only dangerous in that you might affect the profession in a negative way. Inconsequential in that you represent a very small minority. Vocal, yes, listened to, no. Ignored for the same reasons the educated ignore those politicians.

Keep up the fight, however, as opposition often forces further study and that can't be bad. Many "beliefs" have eventually been found to be mistakes or misguided. Maybe you are right. Until I see better, I will stand with the 99.9%.

Your fellow, possibly misguided, DDS.,



William R. Evans, D.D.S.

Jim Maxey, D.D.S., INC., PC

5930 E. 31st ST

Suite 600

Tulsa OK 74135

918-742-2424

July 07, 2014

CERTIFIED MAIL

7013 2250 0001 6290 1924

William R. Evans, D.D.S.

8149 East 31st St

Suite D

Tulsa OK 74145

Dr. Evans:

I sent you, Dr. Glenn and Dr. Thorman that mailing because it is the three of you who have been putting that American Dental Association's (ADA) water fluoridation propaganda in the Tulsa World's Letters to the Editor section.

In that Thorman letter I sent to you where I described the adverse health effects from consuming fluorides I guess you ignored that I quoted the 1983 US Public Health Service (USPHS) Report to the US Surgeon General and studies published in the Journal of the American Dental Association (JADA) and I also referred to Harvard's Forsythe Dental Research Institute (which is heavily supported and used by the ADA) where it was documented with controlled experiments that water fluoridation caused autism like symptoms in test animals that equates to humans.

I quoted directly from the USPHS, JADA and ADA supported research and you denigrated me. So what you are actually doing is denigrating the USPHS, JADA and the ADA as I am just reporting what they published. So by making me wrong what you are actually doing is making the USPHS, JADA and the ADA wrong...which makes me right. Looks like you painted yourself into a corner.

ADA professional ethics and the State Dental Act of Oklahoma required that dentists educate themselves beyond the usual level and protect the public health, safety and general welfare and has penalties for false, fraudulent, or misleading statements...such as you, Glenn and Thorman have written in your letters to the editor where you write what you learned in ADA message school. Professional ethics also require that we are to follow the Golden Rule, you know..."Don't do to others what you don't want done to yourself."

Notice that in my Thorman letter I accurately predicted that your "99.9 %" of the dentist would totally fail to ethically study the ADA literature quoted and instead would only denigrate any messenger. That is exactly what you did. Looks like you are more interested in being right or not wrong instead of being scientifically accurate. I do fully agree with you that "Many "beliefs" have eventually been found to be "mistakes or misguided." This belief that water fluoridation has anything to do with tooth decay rates or is safe, beneficial and of value is in fact a "belief" that has ALREADY been scientifically proven , with controlled studies, to be a "mistake or misguided." The only hold up is having to deal with the

brainwashed dentist. You, Glenn and Thorman are a perfect examples of that and I doubt there will be a "Until I see better" moment for you as you have already documented that you won't even study the ADA's own historical fluoride publications and all you do is stand on your righteous pedestal along with the other "99.9 %" of your head stuck in the sand colleges and denigrate anyone who speaks out about dentists water fluoridation educational deficiencies. This scenario is nothing new for the "99.9 %" though as Charles C. Bass, M.D. already pointed that out this educational deficiency scenario back in the early 1940's. Humanity has been waking up and the "99.9 %" dentists are still asleep.

If you are so darn sure of your "beliefs" I wonder why you did not take me up on my license offer. I offered to surrender my dental license to the first dentist, or anyone else, who can provide me with just one copy of any controlled experiment with the US Public Health Service recommended fluoride and water at USPHS recommended parts per million, that shows that poisonous fluorides are (as published as fact by promoters of fluoridation) safe, beneficial and will cause no future body harm.

The next time you are aware of a child with autism...how are you going to view that child knowing that you and the rest of the "99.9 %" have personally contributed to that's child's autism by failing to honor their professional ethics and the State Dental Act...

I know of many dentists who have ethically studied the opposition's fluoride science and woke up to the fact that we as dentists were in fact duped back in the late 1940's. All they needed to find the TRUTH was ethics and gonads. I would suggest that you get a copy of the book The Fluoride Deception by Christopher Bryson as it very accurately lays out the science, facts and details of this fluoride fraud that you deny exists. This book gives controlled scientifically supportable facts unlike the mantra chants you guys learned in the ADA's message school. And Yes, there is a conspiracy... a conspiracy for PURE WATER.

I really want to thank you for your letter. Your letter, as well as Glenn's and Thorman's letters, are all perfect examples for documenting the position of hard core pro water fluoridation dentists and for documenting that since you have no controlled studies all you guys/gals can do is denigrate the messenger. By the time you get this I will already have distributed your letter and my reply to it both domestically and internationally. I want all those hard working, accurately educated fluoride opposition professionals and others in the US, Canada, Australia, Ireland, and other countries to see exactly the arrogance and denigration they are facing from the fluoride educationally deficient dentists.

So, where is that controlled experiment I have requested? Maybe it's time to put up or shut up!

As for golfing, never was interested...However, once you wake up and apologize I would invite you to come to the Hallett Motor Speedway and I will take you out on the track for some high speed touring.

Sorry that waking up is so traumatic!

Sincerely,


Jim Maxey DDS

cc: Dr's Glenn and Thorman

